

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/544,111	TAKARAGI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MING HON	2625	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) MING HON.

(2) Brian Klock Reg. No. 36570.

**Date of Interview:** 7 July 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*1*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M. H./

Examiner, Art Unit 2625

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) .

(4) .

**Time:** 2:00PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner inquired the applicant's representative for support for the amendments made to Claim 1. The applicant's representative presented the support for Claim 1 which was seen in Figure 4 and 9 in the applicant's specification. Components 402, 403, and 412 in Figure 9 contains support for the newly amended claims in the claims submitted on May 26, 2009.